County Based Funding Practices and Ranking Questions developed by Local Work Group (LWG) For FY2008							
1.	County name			Sevier			
	The Beginning Farmer Payment Schedule Rate for this county is			50%			
2.	PRAC. CODE	CONSERVATION PRACTICE NAME	UNITS	The Local work Group Recommended Using These Practices (A "yes" has been entered for those practices available in this funding pool to use in your county. Blank practices are not available with this ranking tool but may be available from other funding pools.)			
	560	Access Rd (Ft)	FT	YES			
	342	Critical Area Planting (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	382	Fence (Ft)	FT or Strand/ft	YES			
	412	Grassed Waterway (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	561	Heavy Use Area Protection (Ac)	SF	YES			
	484	Mulching (Ac)	Ac or Sq Yd	YES			
	590	Nutrient Management (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	512	Pasture and Hay Planting (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	595	Pest Management	Ac	YES			
	516	Pipeline (Ft)	DIFT	YES			
	378	Pond (No)	CY	YES			
	528	Prescribed Grazing (Ac)	Ac	YES			
	533	Pumping Plant (No)	Each or HP	YES			
	574	Spring Development (No)	Each	YES			
	578	Stream Crossing (No)	SF	YES			
	580	Streambank & Shoreline Protection (Ft)	CY or Ton	YES YES			
	645	Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (Ac) (Early Successional)	Ac				
	614	Water Facility (No)	Each	YES			
	642	Water Well (Ft)	LF or NO	YES			

3. LOCAL ISSUES: Your Local Work Group developed the following yes or no questions to be used in the County Base Ranking Tool. Points will only be awarded with a Yes Answer.

be used ii	the County base Kanking 1001. Folins will only be awarded with a res Answer.			
Question #	Question	Points	Responses	
	Does this application improve water quality along streams listed			
1	on states 303(d) list? Does this application provide livestock water facilities to limit	100	Yes No	
2	access to streams?	95	Yes No	
3	Does this application provide livestock water to improve upland grazing?	90	Yes No	
4	Does this application provide watering facilities to multiple fields to allow for prescribed grazing?	85	Yes No	
5	Does this application reduce soil erosion and improve soil quality?	80	Yes No	
6	Does this application directly reduce impacts to perennial streams, wetlands, or naturally occuring surface sources? Does this application include the development of HUAP to	75	Yes No	
7	Does this application include the development of HUAP to improve water quality and reduce soil erosion?	70	Yes No	
8	Does this application improve watershed health?	65	Yes No	
9	Blank	60	Yes No	
10	Blank	55	Yes No	
11	Blank	50	Yes No	
12	Blank	45	Yes No	
13	Blank	40	Yes No	
14	Blank	35	Yes No	
15	Blank	30	Yes No	
16	Blank	25	Yes No	
17	Blank	20	Yes No	
18	Blank	15	Yes No	
19	Blank	10	Yes No	
20	Blank	5	Yes No	